anisotropic thermal parameters, and hydrogen coordinates, a computer-generated thermal ellipsoid plot of Co[N(SiMe₃)₂]₃, 2, and synthetic details (10 pages); listing of observed and calculated structure factors (12 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

Synthesis and Properties of a Novel Oxo-Bridged Dinuclear Iron(III) Complex Containing Only Oxygen **Donating Ligands**

Xudong Feng, Simon G. Bott, and Stephen J. Lippard*

Department of Chemistry Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139 Received May 30, 1989

The iron-containing enzymes, methane monooxygenase (MMO),¹ ribonucleotide reductase (RR),^{2,3} and purple acid phosphatase (PAP),^{2,4,5} are believed to contain oxo- or hydroxoand carboxylato-bridged dinuclear iron centers similar to that in hemerythrin.⁶ Despite this similarity, the functions of these proteins are intriguingly diverse, comprising monooxygenase, reductase, and oxygen transport activities.⁷ This diversity may be caused by different coordination environments in the proteins afforded, inter alia, by different terminal ligands that anchor the bridged dinuclear iron cores to the polypeptide chains. For example, EXAFS data for MMO and RR indicate more oxygen coordination to iron in these enzymes than in hemerythrin.1c,2 Many μ -oxobis(μ -carboxylato)diiron(III) complexes have been prepared by using either exclusively nitrogen or mixed oxygen/ nitrogen donor terminal ligands to model physical properties of these proteins.8 We report here the synthesis and characterization of the first such complex with exclusive oxygen coordination, $[Fe_2O(O_2CCH_3)_2][OP(OEt)_2]_3Co(C_5H_5)]_2$ (1). The unique magnetic and spectroscopic properties exhibited by this complex afford important new benchmarks for evaluating structural proposals concerning the diiron centers in MMO, RR, and PAP.

An acetonitrile solution of $Na{[OP(OEt)_2]_3Co(C_5H_5)}^9$ (1.10 g, 1.97 mmol, 20 mL) was slowly added to a mixture of (Et₄N)₂[Fe₂OCl₆]¹⁰ (0.600 g, 1.0 mmol) and excess NaO₂C-CH₃·3H₂O (0.40 g, 2.9 mmol) in 20 mL of acetonitrile. After

(1) (a) Woodland, M. P.; Patil, D. S.; Cammack, R.; Dalton, H. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1986, 873, 237. (b) Fox, B. G.; Surerus, K. K.; Münck, E.; Lipscomb, J. D. J. Biol. Chem. 1988, 263, 10553. (c) Ericson, A.; Hedman, B.; Hodgson, K. O.; Green, J.; Dalton, H.; Bentsen, J.; Beer, R. H.; Lippard, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 2330.

(2) Que, L., Jr.; Scarrow, R. C. In Metal Cluster in Proteins; Que, L., Jr. Ed.; ACS Symposium Series No. 372; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 1988, p 152 and the references therein.

(3) (a) Lammers, M.; Follmann, H. Struct. Bonding 1983, 54, 27. (b) Scarrow, R. C.; Maroney, M. J.; Palmer, S. M.; Que, L., Jr.; Roe, A. L.; Salowe, S. P.; Stubbe, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 7857. (c) Backes, G.; Sahlin, M.; Sjöberg, B. M.; Loehr, T. M.; Sanders-Loehr, J. Biochemistry 1989, 28, 1923.

(4) Antanaitis, B. C.; Aisen, P. Adv. Inorg. Biochem. 1983, 5, 111.
(5) Averill, B. A.; Davis, J. C.; Burman, S.; Zirino, T.; Sanders-Loehr, J.; Loehr, T. M.; Sage, J. T.; Debrunner, P. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 3760.

(6) (a) Wilkins, P. C.; Wilkins, R. G. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1987, 79, 195. (b) Stenkamp, R. E.; Sieker, L. C.; Jensen, L. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106. 618.

(7) Lippard, S. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1988, 27, 344 and references therein.

(8) (a) Armstrong, W. H.; Spool, A.; Papaefthymiou, G. C.; Frankel, R. B.; Lippard, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 3653. (b) Wieghardt, K.; Pohl, K.; Gebert, W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1983, 22, 727. (c) Chaudhuri, P.; Wieghardt, K.; Nuber, B.; Weiss, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1985, 24, 778. (d) Toftlund, H.; Murray, K. S.; Zwack, P. R.; Taylor, L. F.; Anderson, O. P. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1986, 191. (e) Gomez-Romero, P.; DeFotis, G. C.; Jameson, G. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 851. (f) Gomez-Romero, P.; Casan-Pastor, N.; Ben-Hussein, A.; Jameson, G. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 1988. (g) Vincent, J. B.; Huffman, J. C.; Christou, G.; Li. Q.; Nanny, M. A.; Hendrickson, D. N.; Fong, R. H.; Fish, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 6898. (9) (a) Kläui, W. Z. Naturforsch., B: Anorg. Chem., Org. Chem. 1979, 34B, 1403. (b) Kläui, W.; Müller, A.; Eberspach, W.; Boese, R.; Goldberg, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 164. (10) Armstrong, W. H.; Lippard, S. J. Inorg. Chem. 1985, 24, 981. (8) (a) Armstrong, W. H.; Spool, A.; Papaefthymiou, G. C.; Frankel, R.



Figure 1. ORTEP drawing of 1 showing the 40% probability thermal ellipsoids and atom labels for all the metal oxygen and phosphorus atoms. Carbon atoms on ethyl groups are represented as isotropic spheres with $B = 6.0 \text{ Å}^2$ for clarity; atom disorder is not shown. Selected interatomic distances (Å) and angles (deg) are as follows: Fe(1)-O, 1.799 (6); Fe(2)=O, 1.791 (6); Fe(1)=O(11), 2.066 (6); Fe(1)=O(21), 2.137 (7); Fe(1)-O(31), 2.048 (8); Fe(2)-O(41), 2.057 (7); Fe(2)-O(51), 2.079 (8); Fe(2)-O(61), 2.125 (7); Fe(1)-O(1), 2.012 (9); Fe(1)-O(2), 2.023 (7); Fe(2)-O(3), 2.039 (8); Fe(2)-O(4), 2.036 (8); Fe(1)-O-Fe(2), 124.4 (4).

Table I. Selected Structural, Magnetic, and Spectroscopic Properties of 1 and 2

	1ª	2 ^b
St	ructural Properties	
∠Fe–O–Fe, deg	124.4 (4)	123.6 (1)
Fe-O _{0x0} , Å	1.799 (6), 1.791 (6)	1.788 (2), 1.780 (2)
Fe-Fe, Å	3.174 (2)	3.146 (1)
av Fe-O µ-carboxylate, Å	2.028	2.043
av Fe-L cis to µ-oxo, Å	2.06	2.15
av Fe-L trans to μ -oxo, Å	2.13	2.19
Mössbauer ^c and Magnetic Data		
δ, mm s ⁻¹	0.58 (3)	0.52 (3)
$\Delta E_{\rm O}$, mm s ⁻¹	1.84 (5)	1.60 (5)
J, cm^{-1}	-108.5(4)	-121.3(1)
$\mu_{\rm eff}/{\rm Fe},\ \mu_{\rm B}^{d}$	1.87	1.71
Elec	tronic Spectral Data	
	$247 (2.91 \times 10^4)$	262 (3375), 339 (4635)
	$357 (6.63 \times 10^3)$	358 (sh), 457 (505)
	471 (208)	492 (460)
	501 (94, sh)	528 (sh)
	569 (95)	695 (70)
		993 (3.5)
Res	onance Raman Data	
$\nu_{\rm s}$ Fe–O–Fe, cm ^{-1 f}	510 (496)	528 (511)
max enhancement, nm ^g	$356.4 (1.87 \times 10^3)$	$406.7 \ (1.78 \times 10^3)^a$

^aThis work. ^bReference 8a. ^cMössbauer parameters are obtained at 4.2 K. ^d Values of μ_{eff} are reported for CDCl₃ solution at 298 K as measured by the Evans NMR method (Evans, D. F. J. Chem. Soc. 1958, 2003). " λ reported in nm in a CH3CN solution of 1 and a CH_2Cl_2 solution of 2. Numbers in parentheses are molar extinction coefficients per iron atom. 'Numbers in parentheses are the ¹⁸O shifted values. ^gValues in parentheses are molar Raman scattering intensities relative to the 704 cm⁻¹ methylene chloride band.

stirring for an hour, the red reaction mixture was filtered and concentrated. Slow cooling to -10 °C afforded red prisms (0.800 g, 57%) which proved to be suitable for X-ray diffraction study.¹¹

0002-7863/89/1511-8046\$01.50/0 © 1989 American Chemical Society

⁽¹¹⁾ Crystal data for 1-2CH₃CN ($C_{42}H_{82}P_6O_{23}N_2Co_2Fe_2$), $M_r = 1398.52$ at 298 K: space group PI, a = 12.062 (2) Å, b = 15.733 (4) Å, c = 18.667(6) Å, $\alpha = 111.64$ (2)°, $\beta = 96.62$ (2)°, $\gamma = 98.83$ (2)°, V = 3196 Å³, Z = 2, $\rho_{calcd} = 1.453$ g cm⁻³, $\rho_{meas} = 1.44$ (1) g cm⁻³. For 4719 unique, observed reflections and 610 parameters, the current discrepancy indices are R = 0.0204 P and 0. 0.0704, $R_w = 0.0849$. Recollection of the data at low temperature and further refinement to resolve solvent and partial disorder are planned, details of which will be reported at a later date.

Elemental analysis, IR, ¹H NMR, Mössbauer and electronic spectroscopic studies as well as magnetic measurements were performed on these crystals.12

The molecular structure of 1 is shown in Figure 1. Features of the ${Fe_2O(O_2CCH_3)_2}^{2+}$ core geometry in 1 compare favorably with those in the $[Fe_2O(O_2CCH_3)_2(HBpz_3)_2]$ analogue (2), in which the terminal ligands are all nitrogen donors (Table I).^{8a} Both compounds have distorted octahedral geometry around each iron atom and lengthened Fe-ligand bonds trans to the short Fe-O_{oxo} bridge. The Fe-O_{oxo} bond lengths fall within the known range for $[Fe_2O(O_2CCH_3)_2]^{2+}$ complexes. The terminal Fe-O bond lengths in 1 are significantly shorter than the analogous Fe-N distances in 2. This result supports the geometric criterion previously used to assign oxygen donor terminal ligands in dinuclear iron-oxo proteins.² Slightly but significantly weaker antiferromagnetic coupling occurs between the two iron(III) centers in 1 compared to that in 2 (Table I). This difference is reflected in the ambient temperature solution magnetic moments for the two complexes. The observed reduction in the exchange coupling constant (J) for 1 versus 2 is in accord with expectations based upon an empirical correlation of J with molecular geometry parameters;13 the slightly longer Fe-O bridge bonds lead to weaker magnetic coupling. The electronic spectrum of 1 differs from that of 2 mainly in the visible region, the respective low-energy bands occurring at 569 and 695 nm. This shift is attributed to the weaker ligand field strength of the triphosphite (O_3) , compared to the tris(pyrazolyl)borate (N_3) , tripod anions coordinated to the high spin iron(III) centers.

Resonance Raman studies of iron-oxo proteins and their model complexes have shown that excitation at or near the UV-vis absorption bands can afford large enhancements of the Fe-O-Fe symmetric stretch.¹⁴ One exception is purple acid phosphatase in which the existence of a binuclear iron-oxo center implied by magnetic and Mössbauer measurements could not be confirmed by a resonance enhanced v_s (Fe-O-Fe) band in the resonance Raman spectrum.⁵ This failure was attributed to the lack of histidine-type unsaturated nitrogen donor ligands in positions trans to the oxo bridge.^{5,15} The solution Raman spectrum of 1 reveals, however, that scattering due to the Fe-O-Fe symmetric stretch at 510 cm⁻¹ is enhanced to approximately the same extent as in solutions of 2 at their respective enhancement maxima (Table I).¹⁶

Cyclic voltammograms of 1 in methylene chloride display a quasireversible reduction wave with $E_{p,c} = -0.55$ V and $E_{p,a} =$ -0.25 V vs Ag/AgCl. The cathodic current of this wave is greatly increased by addition of protons, which presumably stabilize the reduced species. A second irreversible reduction wave appears at $E_c = -0.8$ V. The electrochemical behavior of 1 is thus significantly different from that of 2, which decomposes to mononuclear [Fe(HBpz₃)₂]⁺, under electrochemical conditions.^{8a} No evidence was obtained for [Fe{CpCo[OP(OEt)₂]₃]₂]^{+,16} which has a reversible Fe^{II}/Fe^{III} couple at -0.8 V vs Ag/AgCl. Attempts to isolate and characterize the species obtained upon reduction of 1 are currently in progress.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by U.S. Public Health Service Grant GM 32134 from the National Institute of General Medical Services. We are grateful to Drs. W. B. Tolman and J. G. Bentsen for helpful discussions, to Dr. G. Papaefthymiou for assistance with Mössbauer experiments, and to Dr. J. Sanders-Loehr for a preprint of ref 15.

Supplementary Material Available: Tables of atomic positional (including a model defining the disordered acetonitrile molecules listed in the table) and thermal parameters for 1.2CH₃CN (5 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

A Model Study on Aromatase Cytochrome P-450 Reaction: Transformation of Androstene-3,17,19-trione to 10\beta-Hydroxyestr-4-ene-3,17-dione

Yoshihito Watanabe[†] and Yuzuru Ishimura*

Department of Biochemistry, School of Medicine Keio University, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan Received April 3, 1989

The metabolic transformation of androgens (1) to estrogens (2) by placental aromatase cytochrome P-450 (P-450*arom*) is known to consist of three consecutive oxidations, each of which requires 1 mol of O_2 and 1 mol of NADPH¹ (Scheme I). The conversion of a formyl cyclohexenone moiety of the 19-aldehyde intermediates (4) to the phenol derivatives, the last step in aromatization, is unique in many reactions catalyzed by cytochrome P-450.² Among mechanisms proposed for the aromatization reaction, an involvement of 2β -hydroxylation of 4 has received attention as an attractive mechanism.^{2c} Fishman and his coworkers showed that nonenzymatic aromatization of 2β hydroxy-4-androstene-3,17,19-trione (5) proceeded with stereo-



specific 1β hydrogen elimination identical with that in estrogen biosynthesis.³ However, Caspi et al. showed no incorporation of the oxygen atom of the 2β -hydroxyl of 5 into formic acid in the aromatization reaction.⁴ The intermediacy of 5 appears also less favor in view of the following considerations.

⁽¹²⁾ Anal. ($C_{18}H_{76}P_eO_{23}Co_2Fe_2$) C, H, P, Fe; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) 2978, 2929, 2905, 2860, 1578, 1425, 1389, 1162, 1123, 1096, 1076, 1036, 933, 832, 761, 729, 592; ¹H NMR (250 MHz, 297 K, CDCl₃) δ 10.0 (CH₃, acetate), 5.10 (C₅H₅), 4.08 (CH₂), 1.26 (CH₃) ppm.

^{(13) (}a) Gorun, S. M.; Lippard, S. J. Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas. 1987, 106, 417. (b) Gorun, S. J.; Lippard, S. J., manuscript in preparation.

^{(14) (}a) Sanders-Loehr, J. In Oxidases and Related Redox Systems; Mason, H. S., King, T. E., Morrison, M., Eds., Liss: New York, 1988; p 193 (b) Sanders-Loehr, J. In Metal Clusters in Proteins; Que, L., Jr. Ed.; ACS Symposium Series No. 372; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC,

^{1988;} p 49.
(15) Sanders-Loehr, J.; Wheeler, W. D.; Shiemke, A. K.; Averill, B. A.;
Loehr, T. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, in press.
(16) The Raman spectra of a 0.043 M CH₂Cl₂ solution of 1 exhibited a

⁵¹⁰-cm⁻¹ band (overtone, 1020 cm⁻¹) assigned as v_8 (Fe-O-Fe) that shifted to 496 cm⁻¹ upon ¹⁸O substitution into the oxo bridge. A methylene chloride solution of 2 at the same concentration was studied for comparison. Laser lines with wavelengths of 350.7, 356.4, 406.7, 413.1, 457.9, 488.0, and 514.5 nm were employed. Relative Raman scattering intensities were calculated as The value of the molar scattering intensities (peak height/concentration) of the v_{s} (Fe-O-Fe) at 510 cm⁻¹ to the molar scattering intensities of the methylene chloride peak at 704 cm⁻¹. The maximum enhancement was observed at 356.4 nm for 1 and at 406.7 nm for 2, with the maximum relative Raman scattering intensities 1.05 thet of 2. intensity for 1 being 1.05 that of 2.

⁽¹⁷⁾ The mononuclear complex $[Fe{[OP(OEt)_2]_3Co(C_5H_5)]_2}]BF_4$ was synthesized by a procedure analogous to that previously reported for [Fe-{[OP(OEt)2]₃Co(C₅H₅)}₂]PF₆: Kläui, W.; Eberspach, W.; Schwarz, R. J. Organomet. Chem. **1983**, 252, 347.

[†]Current address: National Chemical Laboratory for Industry, Tsukuba,

[[]baraki 305, Japan.
(1) (a) Thompson, E. A.; Siiteri, P. K. J. Biol. Chem. 1974, 249, 5364–5372.
(b) Thompson, E. A.; Siiteri, P. K. J. Biol. Chem. 1974, 249, 5373–5378.
(c) Meyer, A. S. Biochem. Biophys. Acta 1955, 17, 441–442.
(d) Arigoni, D.; Bataglia, R.; Akhtar, M.; Smith, T. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1975, 185-186.

^{(2) (}a) Conney, A. H. Pharmacol. Rev. 1976, 19, 317-366. (b) Guengerich, F. P.; Macdonald, T. L. Acc. Chem. Res. 1984, 17, 9-16. (c) Ortiz de Montellano, P. R. In Cytochrome P-450: Structure, Mechanism, and Bio-chemistry; Ortiz de Montellano, P. R., Ed.; Plenum Press: New York, 1986; Chapter 7

⁽a) (a) Hosoda, H.; Fishman, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 7325-7329.
(b) Fishman, J.; Raju, M. S. J. Biol. Chem. 1981, 256, 4472-4477. (c) Hahn, E. F.; Fishman, J. J. Biol. Chem. 1984, 259, 189-1694.
(4) Caspi, E.; Wicha, J.; Arunachalam, T.; Nelson, P.; Spiteller, G. J. Am.

Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 7282-7283.